Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and the Environment

to

Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee

on

21st September 2009

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry, Team Leader (Demand Management)

Agenda Item No.

Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders Royal Terrace Executive Councillor: Councillor Waite A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee to consider objections to advertised traffic regulation orders, and make an appropriate decision.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working consider the objections to the order.
- 2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party in respect of the objections and
 - 2.2.1 Make the Orders without amendments, or
 - 2.2.2 Make the Orders with amendments, or
 - 2.2.3 Take no further action

In the event of either 2.2.1 or 2.2.2 being agreed to authorise the Chief Executive and Town Clerk to make the Orders as advertised, or amend to reduce the impact, as appropriate.

3. Background

3.1 Complaints regarding access were received by Officers, on inspection the carriageway was found to be approximately 4.5m in width which is too narrow to accommodate parking while enabling access for emergency vehicles. A proposal to implement a 24 hour parking prohibition was advertised and resulted in the objections and support summarised in Appendix 1 of the report.

4. Other Options

4.1 No action on requests received. This option is not viable, all locations raised as a concern are inspected by Officers to provide a professional opinion as to any perceived safety or traffic flow concern. Where the concern is supported, proposals to restrict parking are instigated. Where Officers do not agree that a perceive any safety or traffic flow problem no further action is taken.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

5.1 To improve road safety and traffic flow at the location detailed in Appendix 1.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

The proposal is based on a reduction of potential traffic hazards therefore resulting in safer roads.

6.2 Financial Implications

Traffic Regulation Orders require formal advertisement which has been undertaken and funded through existing budgets.

6.3 Legal Implications

The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

6.4 People Implications

Staff time as required to organise and monitor the required works, will be met from existing resources.

6.5 Property Implications

None

6.6 Consultation

Formal consultation has been undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street.

	None
8.	Appendices
8.1	Appendix 1 – details of objections and officers comments/recommendations

7.

Background Papers